

AMENDED IN SENATE JUNE 16, 2016

AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY MARCH 31, 2016

AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY MARCH 28, 2016

AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY MARCH 18, 2016

CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE—2015–16 REGULAR SESSION

**ASSEMBLY BILL**

**No. 1911**

---

---

**Introduced by Assembly Member Eggman**

February 11, 2016

---

---

An act to repeal and add Section 241.2 of the Welfare and Institutions Code, relating to juveniles.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 1911, as amended, Eggman. ~~Dual-status~~ *Dual status* minors.

Existing law requires the probation department and the child welfare services department in each county to jointly develop a written protocol, as specified, to ensure appropriate local coordination in the assessment of a minor who is both a dependent child and a ward of the juvenile court. Existing law requires, whenever a minor appears to be both a dependent child and a ward of the juvenile court, the county probation department and the child welfare services department, pursuant to that jointly developed written protocol, to initially determine which status will serve the best interests of the minor and the protection of society.

Existing law authorizes the probation department and the child welfare services department in a county to create a jointly written protocol to allow the 2 departments to jointly assess and produce a recommendation that the child be designated as a dual status child, as specified. Existing law requires the protocol to include a plan to collect data, and requires

the Judicial Council to collect and compile the data. Existing law requires the Judicial Council to prepare an evaluation of the results of the implementation of the protocol, as specified, and to report its findings and any resulting recommendations to the Legislature within 2 years of the date those counties first deem a child to be a dual status child.

This bill would instead require the Judicial Council to convene a committee comprised of stakeholders involved in serving the needs of dependents or wards of the juvenile court, as specified. The bill would require the committee, by January 1, 2018, to develop and report to the Legislature its recommendations to facilitate and enhance comprehensive data and outcome tracking for the state’s youth involved in both the child welfare system and the juvenile justice system, and would require the recommendations to include specified information, including standardized definitions related to these youth. The bill would also require the State Department of Social Services, on or before January 1, 2019, to implement a function within the Child Welfare Services/Case Management System (CWS/CMS) that will enable county child welfare agencies and county probation departments to identify youth described above who are within their counties, and to issue instructions to all counties on the manner in which to completely and consistently track the involvement of these youth in both the child welfare system and the juvenile justice system.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: yes.  
State-mandated local program: no.

*The people of the State of California do enact as follows:*

- 1 SECTION 1. Section 241.2 of the Welfare and Institutions
- 2 Code is repealed.
- 3 SEC. 2. Section 241.2 is added to the Welfare and Institutions
- 4 Code, to read:
- 5 241.2. (a) The Judicial Council shall convene a committee
- 6 comprised of stakeholders involved in serving the needs of
- 7 dependents or wards of the juvenile court, including, but not limited
- 8 to, judges, probation officers, social workers, youth involved in
- 9 both the child welfare system and the juvenile justice system, child
- 10 welfare and juvenile justice attorneys, child welfare and juvenile
- 11 justice advocates, education officials, and representatives from the
- 12 State Department of Social Services, county child welfare agencies,

1 and county probation departments. By January 1, 2018, the  
2 committee shall develop and report to the Legislature, pursuant to  
3 Section 9795 of the Government Code, its recommendations to  
4 facilitate and enhance comprehensive data and outcome tracking  
5 for the state’s youth involved in both the child welfare system and  
6 the juvenile justice system. The committee’s recommendations  
7 shall include, but not be limited to, all of the following:

8 (1) A common identifier for counties to use to reconcile data  
9 across child welfare and juvenile justice systems statewide.

10 (2) Standardized definitions for terms related to the populations  
11 of youth involved in both the child welfare system and the juvenile  
12 justice system.

13 (3) Identified and defined outcomes for counties to track youth  
14 involved in both the child welfare system and the juvenile justice  
15 system, including, but not limited to, outcomes related to  
16 recidivism, health, pregnancy, homelessness, employment, and  
17 education.

18 (4) Established baselines and goals for the identified and defined  
19 outcomes specified in paragraph (3).

20 (5) An assessment as to the costs and benefits associated with  
21 requiring all counties to implement the committee’s  
22 recommendations.

23 (6) *An assessment of whether a single technology system,*  
24 *including, but not limited to, the State Department of Social*  
25 *Services’ Child Welfare Services/Case Management System*  
26 *(CWS/CMS), is needed to track youth in the child welfare system*  
27 *and the juvenile justice system.*

28 (b) The State Department of Social Services shall, on or before  
29 January 1, 2019, implement a function within the ~~Child Welfare~~  
30 ~~Services/Case Management System (CWS/CMS)~~ CWS/CMS that  
31 will enable county child welfare agencies and county probation  
32 departments to identify youth involved in both the child welfare  
33 system and the juvenile justice system who are within their counties  
34 and shall issue instructions to all counties on how to completely  
35 and consistently track the involvement of these youth in both the  
36 child welfare system and the juvenile justice system.

O