AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY JULY 17, 2007 AMENDED IN SENATE APRIL 26, 2007 AMENDED IN SENATE APRIL 9, 2007

SENATE BILL

No. 219

Introduced by Senators Steinberg and Romero

February 9, 2007

An act to-amend Section 52052 of add Section 52052.1 to, and to repeal Section 52052.5 of, the Education Code, relating to pupil achievement.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

SB 219, as amended, Steinberg. Pupil achievement: Academic Performance Index.

Existing

(1) Existing law requires the Superintendent of Public Instruction, with approval of the State Board of Education, to develop the Academic Performance Index (API) consisting of a variety of indicators currently reported to the State Department of Education to track the achievement of schools and their pupils. Statutory provisions establish a specific calculation for graduation rates to be included within the API and require the Superintendent to provide an annual report to the Legislature on graduation and dropout rates in California.

This bill would require the Superintendent, with approval of the state board, to revise the API to include additional information by *a* specified deadlines deadline regarding test scores of pupils who were referred to an alternative education program and school and school district dropout rates, high school graduation rates, and outcomes related to college and career readiness. The bill also would require the Superintendent to

establish a broadly representative and diverse advisory committee to advise him or her and the state board on issues related to revision of the API and the inclusion of multiple measures and to recommend college and career related outcomes that provide meaningful insight into the program quality of public elementary and secondary schools and can be incorporated into the API by July 1, 2009 data and connections that are necessary for establishing indicators of the performance of elementary and secondary schools based on collegeand career-related outcomes and the length of time for which any alternative education pupils' data must be assigned to the school and school district of residence.

(2) Existing law requires the Superintendent to establish an advisory committee on all matters relative to the creation of the API and implementation of the Immediate Intervention/Underperforming Schools Program and the High School Achieving/Improving Schools Program. The advisory committee was required to make recommendations to the Superintendent by July 1, 2005, on the appropriateness and feasibility of a methodology for generating a measurement of academic performance by utilizing unique pupil identifiers and annual academic achievement growth to provide a more accurate measure of a school's growth over time. The Superintendent, with approval of the state board, was required to implement that measurement of academic performance if appropriate and feasible.

This bill would delete those provisions.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: yes. State-mandated local program: no.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

1 SECTION 1. (a) The Legislature finds and declares all of the 2 following:

3 (1) The high number of children leaving school without 4 completing their high school education poses a serious threat to 5 children, their families, schools, and communities, and the 6 competitiveness and well-being of the California economy. The 7 potential adverse impacts of the high school dropout crisis include 8 a strain on the social welfare system and a shortage of well 9 educated Californians to fuel the 21st century economy.

10 (2) New research suggests that fewer than 70 percent of 9th 11 graders in California, and fewer than 50 percent of 9th graders in

some school districts, graduate from high school. More than
 150,000 California high school pupils may be leaving high school
 each year without a diploma.

4 (3) If the dropout crisis is left unchecked, demographic trends 5 suggest that the rate of future dropouts will increase. Latinos are 6 more than twice as likely as whites to leave school before 7 graduation. The Department of Finance estimates that the public 8 school enrollment of Latinos will increase by 18 percent in the 9 next 10 years. The Public Policy Institute of California predicts 10 there will be twice as many high school dropouts in California in 11 2025 as there will be jobs to support them.

(4) The high school dropout crisis will have detrimental effects
on some of the largest industries in California, including computer
technology and software engineering, health care, manufacturing,
biotechnology, the building and automotive trades, entertainment,
and other sectors that rely on an adequately educated workforce
with a minimum of a high school education.

18 (5) Dropouts impose substantial social costs on the state. They 19 are less likely than high school graduates to be employed. The jobs 20 they do find pay substantially lower wages. As a result, dropouts 21 pay lower taxes and are more likely to require public welfare 22 support. Dropouts also have poorer health and are more likely to 23 require public health support.

(6) Dropouts are more likely to commit crimes and become
incarcerated. More than 80 percent of the prisoners in California
in 2005 did not graduate from high school. In 2006, each inmate
cost California taxpayers an average of thirty-four thousand one
hundred fifty dollars (\$34,150), according to the Department of
Corrections and Rehabilitation.

(7) Families and communities play an important role in keeping
children on track toward high school graduation. However, schools
are responsible for creating programs that engage children of
different backgrounds, interests, and skill levels, and for keeping
a close watch on truancy, course failure, and behavior problems
that are the markers of a pupil at risk for dropping out of school.
(b) It is the intent of the Legislature in enacting this act to reflect

the public's fundamental expectation that public schools engage
pupils, keep them on track for graduation, and prepare them for
success after high school in college or immediate entry into a
career.

1	SEC. 2. Section 52052 of the Education Code is amended to
2	read:
3	52052. (a) (1) The Superintendent, with approval of the state
4	board, shall develop an Academic Performance Index (API), to
5	measure the performance of schools, especially the academic
6	performance of pupils.
7	(2) A school shall demonstrate comparable improvement in
8	academic achievement as measured by the API by all numerically
9	significant pupil subgroups at the school, including:
10	(A) Ethnic subgroups.
11	(B) Socioeconomically disadvantaged pupils.
12	(C) English language learners.
13	(D) Pupils with disabilities.
14	(3) (A) For purposes of this section, a numerically significant
15	pupil subgroup is one that meets both of the following criteria:
16	(i) The subgroup consists of at least 50 pupils each of whom
17	has a valid test score.
18	(ii) The subgroup constitutes at least 15 percent of the total
19	population of pupils at a school who have valid test scores.
20	(B) If a subgroup does not constitute 15 percent of the total
21	population of pupils at a school who have valid test scores, the
22	subgroup may constitute a numerically significant pupil subgroup
23	if it has at least 100 valid test scores.
24	(C) For a school with an API score that is based on no fewer
25	than 11 and no more than 99 pupils with valid test scores,
26	numerically significant subgroups shall be defined by the
27	Superintendent, with approval by the state board.
28	(4) The API shall consist of a variety of indicators currently
29	reported to the department, including, but not limited to, the results

30 of the achievement test administered pursuant to Section 60640,

31 attendance rates for pupils in elementary schools, middle schools,

32 and secondary schools, the graduation rates for pupils in secondary 22 schools and the magnitud line of the secondary

33 schools, and the measures described in subdivision (i).

34 (A) Graduation rates for pupils in secondary schools shall be
 35 calculated for the API as follows:

36 (i) The number of pupils who graduated on time for the current

37 school year, which is considered to be three school years after the

38 pupils entered 9th grade for the first time, divided by the total

39 calculated in clause (ii).

1 (ii) The number of pupils entering 9th grade for the first time 2 in the school year three school years prior to the current school 3 year, plus the number of pupils who transferred into the class 4 graduating at the end of the current school year between the school 5 year that was three school years prior to the current school year 6 and the date of graduation, less the number of pupils who 7 transferred out of the school between the school year that was three 8 school years prior to the current school year and the date of 9 graduation who were members of the class that is graduating at 10 the end of the current school year. 11 (B) (i) The pupil data collected for the API that comes from 12 the achievement test administered pursuant to Sections 60640 and 13 60644 and the high school exit examination administered pursuant 14 to Section 60851, when fully implemented, shall be disaggregated 15 by special education status, English language learners, 16 socioeconomic status, gender and ethnic group. The API shall 17 include both of the following: 18 (I) Only the test scores of pupils who were counted as part of 19 the enrollment in the annual data collection of the California Basic 20 Educational Data System for the current fiscal year and who were 21 continuously enrolled during that year may be included in the test 22 result reports in the API score of the school. 23 (II) The test scores of enrolled pupils who were referred to an 24 alternative education program, including community, community 25 day, and continuation high schools and independent study, that is 26 designed to enroll pupils for less than one school year. 27 (ii) Results of the achievement test and other tests specified in 28 subdivision (b) shall constitute at least 60 percent of the value of 29 the index. 30 (C) Before including high school graduation rates and attendance 31 rates in the API, the Superintendent shall determine the extent to 32 which the data are currently reported to the state and the accuracy 33 of the data. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, graduation 34 rates for pupils in dropout recovery high schools shall not be 35 included in the API. For purposes of this subparagraph, "dropout 36 recovery high school" means a high school in which 50 percent

- or more of its pupils have been designated as dropouts pursuant
 to the exit/withdrawal codes developed by the department.
- 39 (D) The Superintendent shall provide an annual report to the
- 40 Legislature on the graduation and dropout rates in California and

1 shall make the same report available to the public. The report shall 2 be accompanied by the release of publicly accessible data for each 3 school district and school in a manner that provides for 4 disaggregation based upon socioeconomically disadvantaged pupils 5 and numerically significant subgroups scoring below average on 6 statewide standards-aligned assessments. In addition, the data shall 7 be made available in a manner that provides for comparisons of a 8 minimum of three years of data. 9 (b) Pupil scores from the following tests, when available and 10 when found to be valid and reliable for this purpose, shall be 11 incorporated into the API: 12 (1) The assessment of the applied academic skills matrix test 13 developed pursuant to Section 60604. 14 (2) The nationally normed test designated pursuant to Section 15 60642. 16 (3) The standards-based achievement tests provided for in 17 Section 60642.5. 18 (4) The high school exit examination. 19 (c) Based on the API, the Superintendent shall develop, and the 20 state board shall adopt, expected annual percentage growth targets 21 for all schools based on their API baseline score from the previous 22 year. Schools are expected to meet these growth targets through 23 effective allocation of available resources. For schools below the statewide API performance target adopted by the state board 24 25 pursuant to subdivision (d), the minimum annual percentage growth 26 target shall be 5 percent of the difference between the actual API 27 score of a school and the statewide API performance target, or one 28 API point, whichever is greater. Schools at or above the statewide 29 API performance target shall have, as their growth target, 30 maintenance of their API score above the statewide API 31 performance target. However, the state board may set differential 32 growth targets based on grade level of instruction and may set 33 higher growth targets for the lowest performing schools because 34 they have the greatest room for improvement. To meet its growth target, a school shall demonstrate that the annual growth in its API 35 36 is equal to or more than its schoolwide annual percentage growth 37 target and that all numerically significant pupil subgroups, as 38 defined in subdivision (a), are making comparable improvement. 39 (d) Upon adoption of state performance standards by the state 40 board, the Superintendent shall recommend, and the state board

- 1 shall adopt, a statewide API performance target that includes
- 2 consideration of performance standards and represents the
- 3 proficiency level required to meet the state performance target.
- 4 When the API is fully developed, schools must, at a minimum,
- 5 meet their annual API growth targets to be eligible for the
- 6 Governor's Performance Award Program as set forth in Section
- 7 52057. The state board may establish additional criteria that schools
- 8 must meet to be eligible for the Governor's Performance Award
 9 Program.
- 10 (c) The API shall be used for both of the following:
- 11 (1) Measuring the progress of schools selected for participation
- 12 in the Immediate Intervention/Underperforming Schools Program
- 13 pursuant to Section 52053.
- 14 (2) Ranking all public schools in the state for the purpose of the
- High Achieving/Improving Schools Program pursuant to Section
 52056.
- (f) (1) A school with 11 to 99 pupils with valid test scores shall
 receive an API score with an asterisk that indicates less statistical
- 19 certainty than API scores based on 100 or more test scores.
- 20 (2) A school shall annually receive an API score, unless the
- 21 Superintendent determines that an API score would be an invalid
- 22 measure of the performance of the school for one or more of the
- 23 following reasons:
- 24 (A) Irregularities in testing procedures occurred.
- 25 (B) The data used to calculate the API score of the school are
- 26 not representative of the pupil population at the school.
- 27 (C) Significant demographic changes in the pupil population
 28 render year-to-year comparisons of pupil performance invalid.
- (D) The department discovers or receives information indicating
- 30 that the integrity of the API score has been compromised.
- 31 (E) Insufficient pupil participation in the assessments included
 32 in the API.
- 33 (3) If a school has fewer than 100 pupils with valid test scores,
- 34 the calculation of the API or adequate yearly progress pursuant to
- 35 the federal No Child Left Behind Act of 2001 (20 U.S.C. Sec. 6301
- 36 et seq.) and federal regulations may be calculated over more than
- 37 one annual administration of the tests administered pursuant to
- 38 Sections 60640 and 60644 and the high school exit examination
- 39 administered pursuant to Section 60851, consistent with regulations
- 40 adopted by the state board.

(g) Only schools with 100 or more test scores contributing to 1 2 the API may be included in the API rankings. 3 (h) The Superintendent, with the approval of the state board, 4 shall develop an alternative accountability system for schools under 5 the jurisdiction of a county board of education or a county 6 superintendent of schools, community day schools, nonpublic, 7 nonsectarian schools pursuant to Section 56366, and alternative 8 schools serving high-risk pupils, including continuation high 9 schools and opportunity schools. Schools in the alternative 10 accountability system may receive an API score, but shall not be included in the API rankings. 11 12 (i) The Superintendent, with the approval of the state board, 13 shall revise the API to do all of the following: (1) By July 1, 2008, or when reliable data first becomes 14 15 available, include school and school district dropout rates for pupils who drop out of school while enrolled in the 8th or 9th grade. If 16 17 reliable data is not available by July 1, 2008, the Superintendent, 18 on or before that date, shall report to the Legislature the reasons 19 for the delay and the anticipated date upon which he or she will 20 include the specified dropout rates in the API. 21 (2) By July 1, 2008, assign all accountability data on pupils in 22 alternative education programs, including community, community 23 day, and continuation high schools and independent study, to the 24 school and school district of residence to ensure that placement 25 decisions are in the best interests of affected pupils. 26 (3) When reliable data first becomes available, include high 27 school graduation rates, as calculated pursuant to subparagraph 28 (A) of paragraph (4) of subdivision (a). (4) By July 1, 2009, include outcomes related to college and 29 30 career readiness, based on recommendations received pursuant to 31 subparagraph (A). 32 (A) The Superintendent shall establish a broadly representative 33 and diverse advisory committee to advise him or her and the state 34 board on issues related to revision of the API and the inclusion of 35 multiple measures and to recommend college and career related 36 outcomes that provide meaningful insight into the program quality 37 of public elementary and secondary schools and can be

38 incorporated into the API by July 1, 2009.

39 (B) The advisory committee's recommendations also shall

40 include data and connections between the data systems of

1 elementary and secondary schools, institutions of postsecondary

2 education, and employers that are necessary for establishing more

3 robust and reliable indicators of the performance of elementary

4 and secondary schools based on college and career related

5 outcomes. The committee shall include representatives from all
 6 of the following:

7 (i) All segments of education in California, including one parent

8 of an elementary or secondary school pupil, one elementary or

9 secondary teacher, one elementary or secondary school

10 administrator, one member of the governing board of a school

11 district, and one alternative school educator.

12 (ii) The business and labor communities.

(iii) State agencies or departments related to the groups
 described in clauses (i) and (ii).

15 SEC. 2. Section 52052.1 is added to the Education Code, to 16 read:

17 52052.1. (a) Beginning July 1, 2008, in addition to the test 18 scores specified in subparagraph (B) of paragraph (4) of 19 subdivision (a) of Section 52052, the Academic Performance Index 20 (API) for any school or school district shall do all of the following:

- 21 (1) Include the test scores of enrolled pupils who were referred 22 to an alternative education program, including community, 23 community day, and continuation high schools and independent 24 study, and be calculated by assigning all accountability data on 25 pupils in alternative education programs, including community, 26 community day, and continuation high schools and independent 27 study, to the school and school district or residence to ensure that 28 placement decisions are in the best interests of affected pupils.
- (2) Exclude the test scores or other data of those pupils exempt
 pursuant to federal statute or federal regulation.

(3) Include school and school district dropout rates for pupils
who drop out of school while enrolled in grade 8 or 9. If reliable
data is not available by July 1, 2008, the Superintendent, on or
before that date, shall report to the Legislature the reasons for the
delay and date he or she anticipates the specified dropout rates

36 will be included in the API.

37 (b) The Superintendent shall establish a broadly representative

38 and diverse advisory committee to advise him or her and the state

39 board on issues related to revision and maintenance of the API

40 and the inclusion of multiple measures.

(1) The advisory committee also shall make recommendations
on all of the following:
(A) Data and connections between the data systems of
elementary and secondary schools, institutions of postsecondary
education, and employers that are necessary for establishing more
robust and reliable indicators of the performance of elementary
and secondary schools based on college- and career-related
outcomes.
(B) The length of time for which any alternative education
pupils' accountability data shall be assigned to the school and
school district of residence pursuant to paragraph (1) of
subdivision (a).
(2) The advisory committee shall include no more than 20
members, with at least one representative from each of the
following:
(A) Parents of an elementary or secondary school pupil.
(B) Elementary or secondary school teachers.
(C) Elementary or secondary school administrators.
(D) Members of the governing board of a school district.
(E) Alternative school educators.
(F) Business.
(G) Labor.
(H) State agencies or departments related to the groups
described in subparagraphs (A) to (G) , inclusive.
(3) To the extent possible, the Superintendent shall appoint

26 members of the advisory committee set forth in Section 52052.5,

27 as it read on December 31, 2007.

(4) Members of the advisory committee shall serve withoutcompensation for terms not to exceed two years.

30 (5) The State Department of Education shall provide staff to 31 the advisory committee.

32 SEC. 3. Section 52052.5 of the Education Code is repealed.

33 52052.5. (a) The Superintendent of Public Instruction shall

34 establish a broadly representative and diverse advisory committee

35 to advise the Superintendent of Public Instruction and the State

36 Board of Education on all appropriate matters relative to the

37 creation of the Academic Performance Index and the

38 implementation of the Immediate Intervention/Underperforming

39 Schools Program and the High Achieving/Improving Schools

40 Program. Members of the advisory committee shall serve without

1 compensation for terms not to exceed two years. The State

2 Department of Education shall provide staff to the advisory panel.
 3 (b) By July 1, 2005, the advisory committee established pursuant

4 to this section shall make recommendations to the Superintendent

5 of Public Instruction on the appropriateness and feasibility of a

6 methodology for generating a measurement of academic

7 performance by utilizing unique pupil identifiers for pupils in

8 kindergarten and any of grades 1 to 12, inclusive, and annual

9 academic achievement growth to provide a more accurate measure

10 of a school's growth over time. If appropriate and feasible, the

11 Superintendent of Public Instruction, with the approval of the State

Board of Education, shall thereafter implement this measurement
 of academic performance.

0